

Exhibit 10

1

DCKFTERT

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2 -----x

3
3 In Re: Attacks on September
4 11, 2001

03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (FM)

5
5
6 -----x

New York, NY
December 20, 2013
11:15 a.m.

8
8 Before:

9
9 HON. FRANK MAAS,

10
10 Magistrate Judge

11
11 APPEARANCES

12
12 COZEN O'CONNOR

13 Attorneys for Federal Insurance Company Plaintiffs

13 BY: SEAN P. CARTER

14 J. SCOTT TARBUTTON

14
15 MOTLEY RICE

15 Attorneys for Burnett Plaintiffs

16 BY: ROBERT T. HAEFELE (via speakerphone)

16 JODY WESTBROOK FLOWERS (via speakerphone)

17
17 ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK

18 Attorneys for Plaintiff O'Neill

18 BY: JERRY S. GOLDMAN

19
19 KREINDLER & KREINDLER

20 Attorneys for Ashton Plaintiffs

20 BY: JAMES P. KREINDLER

21
21 MANNING SOSSAMON

22 Attorneys for Sana-Bell, Inc. and Sanabel Al Kheer, Inc.

22 Defendants

23 BY: CHRISTOPHER C.S. MANNING (via speakerphone)

24
25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
(212) 805-0300

* * *

DCKFTERT

1 think as a practical matter having differing production
2 deadlines as to the defendants injects a layer of complexity
3 into the entire proceeding on several levels. They want to
4 tell you that there's no relationship between the defendants
5 who want to proceed and any of the defendants who have asked
6 for an extension of the deadline. That's not true. The
7 allegations and our pleadings suggest it's very clear there is
8 a connection, for example, between WAMY and Muslim World
9 League. We don't have the documents yet. We think there's a
10 relationship between certain defendants who asked for
11 extensions and DIB as well. So we are simply asking for the
12 opportunity to get the collective documents from the defendants
13 and see whether or not we have legitimate followup discovery
14 with some of these other folks.

15 We went down this road before and your Honor agreed
16 that was the right approach but if people wanted to take
17 depositions they could do it and if there were objections we
18 could address it individually and that makes the most sense.
19 Counsel for DIB suggested that since we haven't filed a motion
20 to compel as to DIB we must be happy with the production and
21 that's not the case. The reality is, as your Honor is aware,
22 we have filed motions to compel on a systematic basis for a
23 very long period of time. We had to chase certain of the
24 defendants relentlessly and we're in the process of filing some
25 other motions. WAMY is a prime example. As we understand it

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300